## Violations of Universalizability Principle

1. Violations of Universalizability Principle
   1. Example # 1
      1. To get out of financial difficulty, I con the requisite cash out of a student by falsely promising repayment
      2. Can I universalize this?
         1. Is it possible (conceivable) for everyone to adopt this maxim? No.
         2. Could a rational being want them to? No, because you have to look at yourself being the person being conned.
            1. Consistent/Coherent
      3. Yes/No
         1. Yes (to both) 🡪 Permissible
         2. No (either) 🡪 Impermissible
   2. Example # 2
      1. Lots of horrendous storms, torrential downpour, flash floods. It’s the day after and its 8:55 and you have to be at a job appointment at 9pm. You hear a voice and you hear “help me, help me!” and you see someone swept into the storm drain. There’s water gagging them, you see they’re in a tough spot. Everyone agrees you have to help this guy out. The maxim is “Out of self-love/self-interest, I remain indifferent to the plight of another.”
      2. Can I universalize this?
         1. Is it possible (conceivable) for everyone to adopt this maxim? Yes
         2. Could a rational being want them to? No!
            1. Consistent/coherent
2. Universalizability Principle enshrines the value of **reciprocity**
   1. Three reason it’s not the golden rule
      1. It’s not broad enough
      2. It doesn’t tell you how to do unto yourself
      3. The U.P. is formulated to look at both
      4. The golden rule is a religious dictum
      5. Kant wanted to distance from that